

Senator SPECTER. Mr. Stewart, after Professor Hill said to you "how great Clarence's nomination was and how much he deserved it," did you continue to have a discussion with Professor Hill?

Mr. STEWART. Correct.

Senator SPECTER. Was there any mention at all of any sexual harassment by Judge Thomas of Professor Hill?

Mr. STEWART. No mention at all, Senator.

Senator SPECTER. Or any other unfavorable conduct of Judge Thomas?

Mr. STEWART. No, none at all, Senator.

Senator SPECTER. And, Mr. Grayson, after, as you have testified, Professor Hill said about Judge Thomas that he deserved it, referring to the Supreme Court nomination, was there any discussion by Ms. Hill of anything derogatory about Judge Thomas?

Mr. GRAYSON. No, Senator.

Senator SPECTER. Is it Professor Kothe?

Mr. KOTHE. Well, you use the Pennsylvania Dutch pronunciation. Actually it is "Kothe."

Senator SPECTER. Professor Kothe?

Mr. KOTHE. Kothe.

Senator SPECTER. Professor Kothe—

Mr. KOTHE. Right.

Senator SPECTER. I would like you just to start, because time is limited and I can assure you there will be many questions on the body of your statement later, but because I want to move to Mr. Doggett in just a moment I would like you to just read the final paragraph of your statement of October 7, if you would, please?

Mr. KOTHE. I read it.

Senator SPECTER. Would you read it, please?

Mr. KOTHE. "I find the references to the alleged sexual harassment not only unbelievable but preposterous. I'm convinced that such is a product of fantasy."

Senator SPECTER. Professor Kothe, did anybody suggest to you that you use the word "fantasy" in describing Professor Hill's conduct?

Mr. KOTHE. No. In the second statement that I made on October 10 I left that off. That wasn't intended as words of art or scientific expression. It was just the instant reaction I had to this awful event. When I heard what the allegations were, my instant reaction was that it is just unbelievable, preposterous, and then I said that it must be a product of fantasy. Because if you just knew these people and knew Clarence Thomas, you would know that that couldn't possibly have been true.

Senator SPECTER. Well, Professor Kothe, was there anything that you could point to in Professor Hill's conduct which would lead you in either an evidentiary or a feeling way to that conclusion of fantasy?

Mr. KOTHE. No. I think perhaps my selection of words there was probably unfortunate. I have never seen Anita Hill in a situation where she wasn't a decent person, a dignified person, a jovial person. I have never seen her in a situation where actually you would say she is fantasizing in that sense. I almost regret that I used that in my first testimony.

Senator SPECTER. Well, then how would you explain Professor Hill's charges against Judge Thomas in the context of your very forceful testimony in support of Judge Thomas?

Mr. KOTHE. There is just no way of explaining it. How she ever was inclined to make such an observation is something that is totally beyond my comprehension. If you knew these two people as we all have known them, and evaluate that or equate that in the context of what has been alleged here, it just, it just couldn't be the same person, you wouldn't think.

Senator SPECTER. Mr. Doggett, turning to your affidavit, and I am going to ask you for the conclusions first before you comment on the substance of your statement. And permit me to comment, I found your testimony of your professional background extremely, enormously impressive.

And let me now move to the last line in the third full paragraph where you—well, why don't you read the last sentence in the third full paragraph on page 2, if you would, please?

Mr. DOGGETT. "I came away from her "going away" party feeling that she was somewhat unstable and that in my case she had fantasized about my being interested in her romantically.

Senator SPECTER. And, if you would now, Mr. Doggett, read the paragraph on page 3?

Mr. DOGGETT.—

It was my opinion at that time, and is my opinion now, that Ms. Hill's fantasies about my sexual interest in her were an indication of the fact that she was having a problem with being rejected by men she was attracted to. Her statements and actions in my presence during the time when she alleges that Clarence Thomas harassed her were totally inconsistent with her current descriptions and are, in my opinion, yet another example of her ability to fabricate the idea that someone was interested in her when in fact no such interest existed.

Senator SPECTER. Now, Mr. Doggett, while your testimony has already, in effect, answered this question, I want to ask you explicitly did anyone suggest to you that you use the word "fantasy" in describing your conclusion about Professor Hill?

Mr. DOGGETT. I talked to no one about my affidavit and the contents of my affidavit. I was quite frankly amazed when I heard the Professor had used the same term. In fact, just to make it very clear, I have not talked to the Judge, have not talked to any of these witnesses, I have not talked to the women that preceded us.

Senator SPECTER. Now, Mr. Doggett, what happened between you and Professor Hill which led you to conclude that she was fantasizing?

Mr. DOGGETT. At a going away party for Anita Hill before she went to Oral Roberts University Law School, soon after I arrived and relatively early in that going away party she asked me if we could talk in private, and I agreed, having no reason to see that that was inappropriate.

And she talked to me like you would talk to a friend who you are going to give some advice to help them "clean up their act." She said, "Something I want to tell you"—and this is what I have quoted in my affidavit, and it is the only part of my affidavit that talks about her statements that is in quotes because it was emblazoned in my brain because it was such a bizarre statement for me.