

Senator GRASSLEY. So you intellectually lynch the people who do want to—

Ms. FITCH. That's one way of doing it, Senator. That is probably the lesser of many evils.

Senator GRASSLEY. Okay, I am done.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you, very much.

Let me clear up two facts and you have been here a long time. We are not going to hold you much longer. But Ms. Holt, on the last page of the transcript that you have in front of you of your logs, there is an insertion or an addition, an addendum, that has one message on it, the very last page. And it is in a different form than the others are and it says, "Judge, 11-1-90, 1:40", etc.

And the handwriting seems to be different from all the other handwriting.

Ms. HOLT. It is different.

The CHAIRMAN. Is it yours?

Ms. HOLT. No, it isn't. This was probably taken at the court.

The CHAIRMAN. I want the record to show that this is not admissible as part of your telephone logs and it is not admissible in the record. Ms. Holt cannot testify as to whether or not this is true, is that correct, Ms. Holt?

Ms. HOLT. That is correct, yes.

The CHAIRMAN. So, therefore, it is not admissible as a part of the record.

Now, let me ask one other thing. Do any of you know Sacari Hardnet?

Ms. HOLT. I knew her, Senator.

Ms. FITCH. Yes, Senator.

The CHAIRMAN. Do you, Ms. Alvarez?

Ms. ALVAREZ. No.

The CHAIRMAN. Ms. Fitch, you know her?

Ms. FITCH. Yes.

The CHAIRMAN. Ms. Holt you know her?

Ms. HOLT. Yes.

The CHAIRMAN. Ms. Myers, do you know her?

Ms. BERRY. No, I don't know her.

The CHAIRMAN. Now, can Ms. Fitch and Ms. Holt tell me who she is? Ms. Holt?

Ms. HOLT. She was a legal intern in the Office of the Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. At EEOC?

Ms. HOLT. At EEOC. What happens is that we hire legal interns while they are still in law school. When they graduate law school they have a certain period, and I don't know what that is, to pass the bar. Their titles are then changed to attorney.

Ms. Hardnet completed law school but she failed the bar so she had to be dismissed from her position.

The CHAIRMAN. I see. Do you know who she is?

Ms. FITCH. Yes.

The CHAIRMAN. What do you know of her?

Ms. FITCH. Senator, the same thing.

The CHAIRMAN. Did you work with her at all?

Ms. FITCH. I vaguely remember that I might have been involved in some project or she might have been involved in some project I was working on. I remember her but I can't tell you what that

project might have been about and I don't recall that she was there more than maybe 9 months.

The CHAIRMAN. More than maybe—

Ms. FITCH. I don't think she was there more than 9 months, if possibly that long. That's my recollection.

The CHAIRMAN. What is your recollection, Ms. Holt?

Ms. HOLT. No more than a year, at any rate.

The CHAIRMAN. Did you hear the Chairman's testimony last night?

Ms. HOLT. I did.

The CHAIRMAN. The Judge's testimony and the Judge will have an opportunity to come back and he can clarify this, but maybe you can help me. Remember when I was asking him about legal assistants, you may remember I asked him who his legal assistants were and he corrected the record and he said I had more than one legal assistant?

Ms. HOLT. I think he was referring to the Department of Education.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you. That was my question.

I also want the record to show that my friend from Wyoming, in an attempt to save me from myself, has suggested to me that it was not William Shakespeare who said, "Hell hath no fury." I still thought Shakespeare may have said it as well, but he says William Congrave said it, and the phrase was, "Heaven hath no rage like love to hatred turned, nor hell fury like a woman scorned."

I want the record to show that and thank him for that. [Laughter.]

I also must tell you that I have my staff researching Shakespeare to see if he said it, not that I think Mr. Congrave would ever plagiarize Shakespeare. [Laughter.]

Does anybody have any further questions?

Senator SPECTER. Could I inquire, Mr. Chairman?

Senator THURMOND. Senator Specter.

Senator SPECTER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I welcome the chance to talk to you ladies because you are an unusual panel here which is testifying on behalf of Judge Thomas, but knows Professor Hill very well. What we have been searching for in this long proceeding is some way to understand the issue of motivation and each of you has testified very forcefully that you think Judge Thomas is correct that the charges are false.

Let me start with you, Ms. Holt, because you seem to know Professor Hill very well. Were you surprised when these charges were leveled?

Ms. HOLT. I was absolutely surprised, I was in shock.

Senator SPECTER. Well, knowing—I expected that to be your answer—knowing Professor Hill as you do and being confident that Judge Thomas is in the clear, do you have any insight to shed on what Professor Hill may be doing, what her motivation is, if you think she is not telling the truth?

Ms. HOLT. I know, I mean the allegations she has made are not even in character with Clarence Thomas.

Senator SPECTER. But is it in character with Professor Hill to make such charges?

Ms. HOLT. I never thought so, sir.